

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,647	11/06/2002	Chih-Feng Sung	8834-US-PA	5982
31561 7	7590 05/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
7 FLOOR-1, N ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 100	•		2674	
TAIWAN			DATE MAIL ED: 05/16/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	o. Applicant(s) SUNG, CHIH-FENG				
		10/065,647					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jennifer T Nguyen	2674				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apr Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	_			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a in ply within the statutory minimum of thire divided apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	November 2002.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application is also of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)[] 7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the correction is objected to by the E						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Drity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment((s)						
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	——————————————————————————————————————)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 12/28/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo (Patent No.: US 6,777,888) in view of Troxell (Patent No.: US 5,177,406).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Fig. 1, Kondo teaches a driving circuit design for a display device having a plurality of pixels with each pixel including a driving thin film transistor (M1) and an organic light emitting diode (1) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Kondo differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach each pixel receiving an identical data voltage; and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor through the adjustment of the channel width/length ratio of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained. However, referring to Figs. 2-5, Troxell teaches each pixel (10) (Fig. 2) receiving an identical data voltage (i.e., 12 V) (col. 12, lines 25-27); and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor (34) through the adjustment of the width/length ratio (Wt/Lt) of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the modifying the driving current as taught by Troxell in the system of Kondo in order to provide a display image with a full coloration is obtained easily.

Regarding claim 2, Kondo further teaches the driving current (i.e., current from second power source) passes between the drain terminal and the gate terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) (col. 6, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of red light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of green light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of blue light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of red light is proportional to the driving current flowing across unit area of

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

the red organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of green light is proportional to the driving current flowing across area of the green organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of blue light is proportional to the driving current flowing across unit area of the blue organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 9, Kondo further teaches the source terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) is coupled to the positive terminal of the organic light emitting diode (1) (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 10, Kondo further teaches the drain terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) is coupled to a power supply at a first voltage level (7) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Regarding claim 11, Kondo further teaches the negative terminal of the organic light emitting diode (1) is coupled to a power supply at a second voltage level (6) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Regarding claim 12, Kondo further teaches each pixel further includes: a thin film transistor switch (11) having a drain terminal, a gate terminal and a source terminal, wherein the drain terminal is coupled to the data voltage (9), the gate terminal is coupled to a scanning voltage (5) and the source terminal is coupled to the gate terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2); and a capacitor (C1) having a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first terminal is coupled to the source terminal and the gate terminal of the driving thin film

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

transistor (M2), and the second terminal is coupled to a power supply at a third voltage level (Vref) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 12/28/04, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument filed "Troxell does not teach that adjusting the channel width/length ratio of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained". Examiner disagreed. Troxell teaches each pixel (10) (Fig. 2) receiving an identical data voltage (i.e., 12 V) (col. 12, lines 25-27); and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor (34) through the adjustment of the channel width/length ratio (Wt/Lt) of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15). Consequently, an appropriate luminance ratio between R, G, B lights may be selected to reproduce white light and full coloration is obtained. Therefore, it is believe that the ground of the rejection is maintained.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2674

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JNguyen 5/3/05

REGINA LIANG RIMARY EXAMINER